## Pupil premium strategy statement (primary)

1. Sı	Immary information	on						
Schoo	I	Belford Fir	st School					
Academic Year		2018-19	Total PP budget	£14,520	Date of most recent P	P Review	May 2016	
Total ı	number of pupils	75	Number of pupils eligible for PP	12	Date for next internal	review of this strategy	6 <sup>th</sup> Jan 2019	
2. At	tainment 2017-18	(11 children	)					
				Pupils	eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils not eligible fo	r PP	
% ach	ieving in reading,	writing and	maths		100%	85%sch (national	<mark>75</mark> %)	
% acł	ieving in reading				100%	85%sch (national	<mark>92</mark> %)	
% acł	ieving in writing				100%	85%sch (national	<mark>95</mark> %)	
% acł	ieving in maths				100%	85%sch (national	<mark>91</mark> %)	
		•	or pupils eligible for PP, including hi ressed in school, such as poor oral lan	<u> </u>	)			
Α.	Children's ability to ir	npact on own l	earning through self-regulated activities.					
В.	Reduced language a	nd communica	tion skills to support collaborative learning.					
Extern	al barriers (issues	which also	require action outside school, such as	low attendai	nce rates)			
C.	Parental support incl	uding low aspir	rations					
D.	Lack of enrichment a	ctivities to sup	port language development					
4. De	esired outcomes							
	Desired outcome	s and how th	ney will be measured	Suc	cess criteria			
Α.	Children able to adap learning, set goals an		f-regulation methods to learning so as to think a lemic progress	about High	Higher rates of progress for higher attaining pupils eligible for PP			
В.	Children work collabo	oratively on tas	< to promote learning and progress	Child	Children more able to communicate learning and work alongside others.		rs.	
C.	Parents engage with homework.	children's learr	ing by attending workshops and supporting wit	h Child	Children complete homework, parents are empowered to support.			
D.	Progress, especially i on children's vocabul		ccelerated due to significant experiences which tranding	impact Child	ren make better than expected pro	ogress in reading and compreh	ension skills/	

5. Planned expend	iture				
Academic year	2017-18				
•	elow enable schools to d whole school strategies.	emonstrate how they are using the pupi	I premium to improve classroom p	edagogy, pro	ovide targeted
i. Quality of teach	ing for all				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
<ul> <li>a) Improve attainment for all pupils</li> <li>b) encourage collaborative learning to promote progress and</li> </ul>	Promote higher order thinking skills by making links between pupil's learning in science, literacy and numeracy- Thinking, doing, talking science.	When links are made between pupil's learning in science, literacy and numeracy there is an increase in cognitive challenge throughout the curriculum -EEF toolkit	<ul> <li>Monitor weekly planning to ensure teachers are planning appropriate activities</li> <li>Lesson observations</li> <li>Book scrutiny</li> <li>Share good practise /CPD</li> </ul>	JR	ongoing
d) improve language and communication skills	Develop metacognition and self-regulations	EEF toolkit believes approaches that support pupils to plan, monitor and understand their own learning help develop inquisitive self- motivated learners.	<ul> <li>High quality CPD</li> <li>Peer observation of attendees' classes after the course</li> <li>Lessons from training embedded</li> <li>Consistent systems and routines</li> </ul>	JR	May 19
	Provide opportunities to support collaborative learning	EEF say the impact of collaborative approaches to learning is consistently positive. Structured approaches with well- designed tasks lead to the greatest learning gains.	<ul> <li>Lesson observation</li> <li>Learning walks</li> <li>Pupil interviews</li> <li>Planning scrutiny</li> <li>Sharing good practise/CPD</li> </ul>	JR	Ongoing
			Total bud	dgeted cost	2,000
ii. Targeted support	rt				L
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
<ul><li>a) Improve attainment for all pupils</li><li>b) improve language and communication skills</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Targeted support</li> <li>CPD</li> <li>Resources to support interventions</li> <li>TA to deliver high quality interventions</li> </ul>	The EEF Toolkit suggests that targeted interventions matched to specific students with particular needs can be effective, By raising children's self-esteem they will approach their work with greater confidence.	<ul> <li>CPD for all TA so that interventions are of a consistently high standard</li> <li>Monitoring of interventions by Senior leaders</li> <li>Data collection every half term</li> </ul>	JR	Nov 18 Feb 19 April 19
c) Improved parent support	and support 'catch-up' session Support parents to work with children at home	EEF suggests that parental support at home can influence children's attainment in school	<ul> <li>Pupil progress meetings to consider impact and next steps</li> <li>Monitoring of usage of websites designed to support children's</li> </ul>	JR	June 19 Jan 19

	through workshops Whole school project Topic based homework	when planned effectively To raise self-esteem and confidence To support independent learning	<ul><li>learning at home.</li><li>Parent attendance at workshops and open days</li></ul>		
	Total budgeted cost				
iii. Other approach	es				
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
<ul> <li>a) Improve attainment for all pupils</li> <li>d) provide enrichment activities to support language and communication</li> </ul>	Provide an enriched curriculum that supports children to make connections between their experiences and the curriculum, especially language and reading skills.	EEF say enrichment activities have intrinsic benefits. All children, including those from disadvantaged background deserve a well- rounded culturally rich education	Visits, visitors, REAL projects, P4C, international school award/projects	JR	Sep 2018
Total budgeted cost					2,000

<b>Previous Academic</b>	Year			
i. Quality of teach	ing for all			
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
<ul> <li>c) Provide quality first teaching</li> <li>b) High quality teaching of reading comprehension</li> </ul>	Quality first teaching	REC – 100% GLD (others 78%) Year 1 -100% passed phonic screening (87.5% other) Year 2 – 100% ARE (75% others) Year 3 – 100% ARE (92% others) Year 4 -100% (85% others)	Where teachers and teaching assistants are jointly responsible for progress and TA have a good understanding of learning progress is accelerated.	£2000
ii. Targeted suppo	rt			
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
b) Improve attainment for higher ability pupils	One to one support	REC – 0% working at depth (others 22%) Year 1 -0% working at depth (19% other) Year 2 – 0% working at depth (50% others) Year 3 – 33.3% working at depth 25% others) Year 4 - 0% working at depth(57% others)	Targeted support when linked to specific programmes and tracked through standardised scores has greatly improved progress and attainment in school. This will be continued and developed further. Results of more able depends on the individuals and cohorts	19,000
iii. Other approach	es			
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	<b>Estimated impact:</b> Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
a) Raise aspirations of families	Purchase e-learning resources children can access from home.	REC – 100% GLD (others 78%) Year 1 -100% passed phonic screening (87.5% other) Year 2 – 100% ARE (75% others) Year 3 – 100% ARE (92% others) Year 4 -100% (85% others)	Limited use at home. Not a cost effective method to make progress. Not to be continued.	
d) Improve attendance rate	Prepare at risk register and follow up low attendance quickly through interviews with parents	Attendance PP – 96.61% Not PP – 96.43%	Attendance this year is significantly higher than previous years. PP children attending school more than non PP children.	2000

## 7. Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to **additional** information which you have used to inform the statement above. Our full strategy document can be found online at: www.aschool.sch.uk